| 1 2 3 | STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INSURANCE BOARD OF LICENSING CONTRACTORS |
|-------|--|
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS |
| 9 | taken May 25, 2008 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Cannon & Associates |
| 23 | Court Reporters P.O. Box 150 |
| 24 | Portland, Tennessee 37148 (615) 323-0249 |
| 25 | Reported by Beverly Cannon |
| | |
| | |
| | 1 |

APPEARANCES: Chairman Larry Parks Member Marvin Sandrell Member Frank Neal Members of the Board: Member Reese Smith, III Member Cliff Hunt Ms. Beth Oschack Tarter Staff Attorney 500 James Robertson Parkway Davy Crockett Tower, 12th Floor Nashville, Tennessee 37243 Ms. Telise Roberts Assisitant Executive Director 500 James Robertson Parkway Davy Crockett Tower, Suite 110 Nashville, Tennessee 37243

MR. PARKS: Let's call the meeting of the 1 Board of Contractor's meeting to order for the March 2 3 meeting. We'll begin with the roll call. 4 MR. SMITH: Reese Smith, Franklin. MR. PARKS: Larry Parks, Chattanooga. 5 Frank Neal, Nashville. 6 MR. NEAL: 7 MR. SANDRELL: Marvin Sandrell, Columbia. MR. HUNT: Cliff Hunt, Memphis. 8 Thank you. We are going to 9 MR. PARKS: probably modify our agenda this afternoon. We have the 10 1.1 residential and commercial subcommittee that has not met. And Beth is going to give each of us her report as it 12 relates to that. And we will divide those up and each take 13 our share and reveal them on the report and accept or 14 reject the changes, et cetera, tomorrow morning in our 15 16 rulemaking hearing. 17 There's one change in the agenda. anybody else have any other additions or adoptions or 18 19 reviews, comments on the agenda. Do I have a motion that 20 we accept this, if not? MEMBER SMITH: So moved. 21 22 MEMBER NEAL: Second. CHAIR PARKS: All in favor. 23 24 THE BOARD: Aye. CHAIR PARKS: The hardships that have been 25

been approved since last board meeting, there has been one 1 It was Integrated Properties, LLC. It's a Chattanooga company. The company had a license and in doing the job committed suicide. And the owner has asked 4 -- the owner of Integrated Properties who was in contract 5 to do the project. He's got a lot of history. May I have 6 a motion? If there are now questions, may I have a motion 8 to accept the hardships? MEMBER NEAL: So moved. 9 MEMBER. SMITH: Second. 10 CHAIR PARKS: A second. All approved say 11 12 aye. 13 THE BOARD: Aye. CHAIR PARKS: Thank you. The January 2008 14 transcript is behind is behind Tab 3. We were all given a 15 copy of this last week, I believe. Hopefully, some people 16 17 have had a chance to review it. Since it's done by a court reporter I quit questioning them as far as really reviewing 18 19 It's accurate as far as possible misspelling or some industries terms. May I have a motion of acceptance of the 20 21 transcript. 22 MEMBER NEAL: I so move to accept the 23 transcript of January 2008 meeting. 24 MEMBER SANDRELL: Second.

CHAIR SMITH: All in favor say aye.

1 THE BOARD: Aye. CHAIR SMITH: Thank you. Behind Tab 4 is the 2 3 interview and waived applicants. Does anyone have issues with interviews done this morning that they need to bring 4 5 before the board for discussion? 6 MEMBER SMITH: I have one that I'm going to 7 have to just -- Rivera Pools. I need to talk Telise about this one. But it's -- in my opinion, the total will be 8 moved upon for approval. 10 MEMBER HUNT: Mr. Chairman, I have a couple 11 with some unlicensed activity, but that's fairly routine in 12 the interview process. And noting on the files and holding 13 the licenses for six months as appropriate. 14 CHAIR PARKS: Do you want to put those names 15 on the record of who they are? 16 MEMBER HUNT: Than's fine. The first one is Signature Investments LLC. License Number 60680. 17 18 MEMBER SMITH: Are they out of Indiana? 19 MEMBER HUNT: No. 20 CHAIR PARKS: Okay. MEMBER HUNT: And the second one is Coupe 21 22 Louisville, Kentucky. License Construction Company, Inc. 23 number 29050. 24 CHAIR PARKS: To my right. 25 MEMBER NEAL: No.

CHAIR PARKS: I had couple of waived ones that I had issues or questions with. One is that -- and I've of got -- I'm going to pass this down so that everybody can see what Matthew Goldberg (phonetically) our staff accountant has written.

The jest of it is that this particular applicant, last name is Wolfe, is listed as the price of a spec home. And he goes on to explain how the grandfather is called in and all that. The question I want to bring in is that we have always allowed a spec home for sale as inventory as a current asset.

And Matthew is saying that there is some guideline that says that you're not supposed to do that. I don't think we need to change our process, do we?

MEMBER SMITH: Especially during these times.

CHAIR PARKS: I don't know that this really needs any action. But I had copies made of this to pass down. I'm going to go ahead and set this inventory as a current asset and grant this particular license.

I have a couple of letters that were waived that came before me. I don't know if we want to talk about this now or in the morning. But for that part of our meeting in the morning with her boss -- and I have a question about if I hear something in the industry that gives me cause to question something on an applications as

to legitimacy, should I use that information?

MEMBER NEAL: Why not.

CHAIR PARKS: And I think I should. I've had an attorney call me about one particular change in mode or -- well, it's really a new application. But it was sort of a spin off of probably -- but anyway I had an attorney call me about litigation. I know this is litigation. And I don't know how much you know -- move from one direction and use before granting the other.

Because basically he's trying to skirt some financing obligations in doing what they could be doing. I don't know if I should be saying names right now.

MEMBER NEAL: I was going to say as long as you don't say their name.

CHAIR PARKS: I know. Like I said, I don't know enough right this minute -- I've got to talk to the attorney again. But he called me twice asking questions. The predecessor company has been a sub of mine. And I understand paid benefits and other things.

One other waive that I had is a company out of Georgia -- and to bring the Board up to speed -- reciprocities with Georgia. David Braam -- is that how you say his name? B-R-A-M -- it's got the legislative director for Commerce and Insurance.

MS. TARTER: I think he's like the

legislative liaison.

2.

CHAIR PARKS: And I have had a couple who have had more conversations. And I've had communications with the Georgia Contractor's Board. They will not reciprocate with Tennessee yet. They keep saying they will some day, but they do not yet.

This is the second Georgia domicile contractor that I've gotten filings on orders to reciprocate by virtue of their licenser in some other state. And I have thought a lot about it.

But we reciprocation agreements state for state; Tennessee, Louisiana, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee, Arkansas and various other states. We don't have in one Georgia. So do we reciprocate with a Georgia contractor based on his licenser in the state of North Carolina or South Carolina or some other state?

MEMBER NEAL: I did one with the same situation; Georgia contractor with an Alabama license.

CHAIR PARKS: Georgia will not reciprocate with us. And I want to know should we ought to do a Georgia contractor a favor? I'm being perfectly honest with you.

MEMBER NEAL: We ought to do it if it's an Alabama contractor.

CHAIR PARKS: It's not an Alabama contractor.

It's a Georgia contractor.

MS. TARTER: Before you guys adopt one policy or another. There is pending legislation that pretty much solidifies not to reciprocate with Georgia. I'm not sure where that bill was. It didn't say Georgia by name, but it made at --

CHAIR PARKS: Yeah. But's it's an AGC bill that is -- is actually on reciprocity.

MS. TARTER: And I'm sure if they stipulate or clarify if it's Georgia contractor and if it might encompass one of the -- like we have in other states. Whether they're still active or living or residing or maintenance in Georgia. So I'm not sure where that is at, but I can check.

CHAIR PARKS: Does the Board wish to take a position on --

MEMBER SMITH: I never thought about that.

MEMBER NEAL: I don't know how we can refuse to reciprocate with Alabama license even, though, the guy is Georgia. He may be a right on the edge and do 80 percent of his work in Alabama and 20 percent of his work in Georgia. And we just look at it -- are we going to say we can't reciprocate because he's from Georgia. Same thing with -- right across the -- your line down there.

MEMBER SMITH: I think you'd have to go after

all of them.

12.

CHAIR PARKS: We'd have to treat them all the same. I mean, if there's somebody -- for example, Texas doesn't have a contractor licensing law, to my knowledge. If a Texan wanted a license in Tennessee based on being licensed in Louisiana, do we grant that? I mean, it's --

MEMBER HUNT: We do?

CHAIR PARKS: I think we've probably done it.

I don't know whether that was the intent of reciprocity agreements or not.

MEMBER NEAL: We're doing the same in Kentucky and North Carolina, too.

MS. TARTER: And the reciprocity laws are governed by statutes. I think it would depend on the actual -- but it would depend on what they define as being licensed in and, you know -- I mean, I think that's an unusual situation. I might have to parse the law on that. I don't know what they thought when they about when they passed it.

CHAIR PARKS: We might talk about that a little bit more tomorrow when your superior -- I'd like to quote him that particular one. So are those the comments on waived or rescheduling interviews? May I have a motion for acceptance?

MEMBER NEAL: So moved.

| 1 | MEMBER SMITH: Second. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIR PARKS: Other discussion? All in favor |
| 3 | say aye. |
| 4 | THE BOARD: Aye. |
| 5 | CHAIR PARKS: Thank you. Revision behind Tab |
| 6 | 5. There was one increase added this morning that was |
| 7 | faxed in as a last minute she wrote it in my book so |
| 8 | that I could put it on the record. It is Number 43573. |
| 9 | And that's with the assistance of Kate Gerado |
| 10 | (phonetically) for one million two unlimited. So with |
| 11 | addition to the list I would move for acceptance of those. |
| 12 | MEMBER HUNT: So moved. |
| 13 | MR. SMITH: Second. |
| 14 | CHAIR PARKS: All in favor say aye. |
| 15 | THE BOARD: Aye. |
| 16 | CHAIR PARKS: Thank you. Behind Tab 6 is the |
| 17 | LLE applications that have been |
| 18 | MEMBER HUNT: Mr. Chairman, I reviewed those |
| 19 | files and recommend all of them for approval. |
| 20 | CHAIR PARKS: Thank you. |
| 21 | MEMBER NEAL: Second. |
| 22 | CHAIR PARKS: Any discussion? All in favor |
| 23 | say aye. |
| 24 | THE BOARD: Aye. |
| 25 | CHAIR SMITH. Thank you. |

| 1 | MS. TARTER: Excuse me. I'm sorry. Can I go |
|----|---|
| 2 | back to the interview waived applicants. Cliff had a |
| 3 | couple of those names, do you want open cases that I sent |
| 4 | letters on the ones or just |
| 5 | MEMBER HUNT: It's noted on the files. |
| 6 | MS. TARTER: I just wanted to make note of |
| 7 | those. |
| 8 | CHAIR PARKS: '08 and '09 meeting dates. |
| 9 | There's another November was what we're having a problem |
| 10 | with. That's the only one we're having a problem with, |
| 11 | right? |
| 12 | MS. ROBERTS: Right. |
| 13 | CHAIR PARKS: Tentatively we're talking about |
| 14 | the 24th and 25th which is Thanksgiving week, which was |
| 15 | just a problem for me and maybe others. We debated about |
| 16 | moving it. |
| 17 | MEMBER SMITH: The week after. |
| 18 | CHAIR PARKS: We don't have a November date |
| 19 | because the law says, what, monthly meetings or does it |
| 20 | just say six times a year? |
| 21 | MS. TARTER: It says a month. |
| 22 | MEMBER NEAL: Why can't me make it the 18th |
| 23 | and 19th? |
| 24 | CHAIR PARKS: I think somebody had an issue |
| 25 | with that |

MEMBER HUNT: When is Thanksqiving? 7 2 CHAIR PARKS: 27th. 3 MEMBER HUNT: Is that just too early in the month? Because we still have to get the applications. 4 18th and 19th. 5 MEMBER NEAL: 6 MS. ROBERTS: We've done it before. 7 We used to meet before CHAIR PARKS: 8 Thanksqiving all the time. 9 MEMBER NEAL: Well, let's move it to the 18th and 19th. Monday is a bad day. 10 CHAIR PARKS: Let's try for November 18th and 11 12 19th. 13 MEMBER NEAL: Surely we can get five. 14 CHAIR PARKS: Yeah, five. And getting the 15 meeting location is as much an issue. We'll skip that for 16 the time being. Behind Tab 8 for discussion is a copy of a 17 contract that's been provided to the board. The names have been removed. The jest of this is that there is an old 18 cement plant that is being dismantled and removed for free. 19 20 In other words, whoever is getting this is taking it down for the salvage value or the value to make 2.1 22 cement somewhere else. I think the board office said there was a 23 24 demolition permit was required, but apparently somebody has 25 arqued that. Telise, can you tell us a little bit more

about it. 1 MEMBER SMITH: The building department 2 said --3 MS. ROBERTS: The permit office called and 4 said they would need to issue or should issue a permit. 5 6 CHAIR PARKS: And the very last part of this is that the contractor -- it's on page 10 actually, 7 paragraph 30 -- the contractor -- the person dismantling, 8 is the contractor, has to post a five-hundred-thousand bond for payment. To me, that tells the value of the, a 10 minimum, of about half million dollars. 11 12 And that's what they ought to permit it for. The demolition has to be required. And that would -- when 13 asked as what I said just last week just take full board --14 this has come up once before. And I think we did it the 15 16 very same way. But does anybody have any disagreement or 17 different opinion? I think the prior time -- I MEMBER HUNT: 18 don't remember all the details -- but the -- I don't 19 20 remember a performance in payment bond, but I think we did rule that they had to have a license. Because obviously 21 22 something was in the value. If you've got a BC license --MEMBER SMITH: 23 They didn't hire a 24 CHAIR PARKS: True.

properly licensed contractor to dismantle. Do we have a

| 1 | motion to that effect? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MEMBER NEAL: Does he have any kind of |
| 3 | license? |
| 4 | MS. ROBERTS: I don't know if he was the |
| 5 | contractor or the owner. All I talked to is the asking |
| 6 | for a permit and I faxed it to me. |
| 7 | MEMBER NEAL: If the contractor put a bid in |
| 8 | I don't know how you contract something out to somebody who |
| 9 | isn't licensed. |
| 10 | CHAIR PARKS: Do I have a motion? Let me |
| 11 | clarify this. A properly licensed contractor is required |
| 12 | in this case or any case where demolition is done for the |
| 13 | salvage value. |
| 14 | MEMBER NEAL: I still like to know whether or |
| 15 | not they took a bid. If they took bids, then, you know, I |
| 16 | don't see that we've got any choice but to say it's got to |
| 17 | be a licensed contractor. |
| 18 | CHAIR PARKS: But throughout this contract |
| 19 | they refer to the person as contractor. |
| 20 | MEMBER NEAL: Where does it say the part for |
| 21 | bond? |
| 22 | CHAIR PARKS: It's page 10. |
| 23 | MEMBER NEAL: I make a motion that it has to |
| 24 | be a licensed contractor. |
| 25 | CHAIR PARKS: Second? |

| 1 | MEMBER SANDRELL: Second. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIR PARKS: Any discussion? |
| 3 | MEMBER HUNT: How are we going to determine |
| 4 | the limit required? |
| 5 | MEMBER NEAL: I didn't see the limits. |
| 6 | CHAIR PARKS: In think in this case we've got |
| 7 | something to go on because we've |
| 8 | MEMBER NEAL: \$500,000. |
| 9 | CHAIR PARKS: When there isn't one I mean, |
| 10 | that's up to the local jurisdiction to issue permits for |
| 11 | you know, there's no permit value. |
| 12 | MEMBER NEAL: The contractor is going to say |
| 13 | zero because he don't get anything for it. None. |
| 14 | CHAIR PARKS: He's getting something to take |
| 15 | it down. I've asked the question. |
| 16 | MEMBER SMITH: Offer the question. |
| 17 | CHAIR PARKS. All in favor say aye. |
| 18 | THE BOARD: Opposed. Thank you. That |
| 19 | completes the agenda except for except the legal report. |
| 20 | We've got 20 more minutes before we lose the quorum. What |
| 21 | do you want to do, Ms. Tarter? |
| 22 | MS. TARTER: Well, the legal report is |
| 23 | approximately 110 cases on the contractors one and then |
| 24 | home improvements has another 110 or 11. So it's about 40 |
| 25 | or 50 pages to review. |

| | i |
|----|--|
| 1 | CHAIR PARKS: Home improvement, you've gotten |
| 2 | two of the home improvements recommended and acceptance. |
| 3 | Do we need to review those or do we accept them? |
| 4 | MS. TARTER: Right. They've already reviewed |
| 5 | them. I just have copies. |
| 6 | CHAIR PARKS: Have the two that responded |
| 7 | agreed to the recommendations? |
| 8 | MS. ROBERTS: Yes. |
| 9 | CHAIR PARKS: I guess we can take a copy and |
| 10 | look at it and adopt it tomorrow. |
| 11 | (Document tendered to board.) |
| 12 | CHAIR PARKS: Is that residential and |
| 13 | commercial? |
| 14 | MS. TARTER: Yes. Commercial is on the back |
| 15 | the last three or four pages. They're all numbered. I |
| 16 | think there's 93 residential |
| 17 | CHAIR PARKS: There's 110 |
| 18 | MS. TARTER: I don't know if you guys want to |
| 19 | do residential and commercial separate or |
| 20 | CHAIR PARKS: Let's do residential. 92 |
| 21 | that would be 18 and Cliff, you 1 through 18. |
| 22 | MEMBER HUNT: Yes, sir. |
| 23 | MEMBER SMITH: We don't read out loud all of |
| 24 | them and just agree. |
| 25 | CHAIR PARKS: Reese, you take 19 through 36. |

| 1 | MEMBER SMITH: We'll just agree with what she |
|----|--|
| 2 | says. |
| 3 | CHAIR PARKS: Frank, 37 through 54. Marvin, |
| 4 | 55 through 72. And I'll start at 73. |
| 5 | MS. TARTER: Just see what you can through |
| 6 | and we'll do the others tomorrow. |
| 7 | MEMBER HUNT: Do you want us to speak up as |
| 8 | we go? |
| 9 | CHAIR PARKS: No. That will be too |
| 10 | confusing for everybody. |
| 11 | MS. TARTER: Usually just make notes and we |
| 12 | can go over them tomorrow. We have a lot of them back in |
| 13 | the car. |
| 14 | MEMBER HUNT: We usually go over them |
| 15 | ourselves. And the then if we disagree and the we go over |
| 16 | them. |
| 17 | CHAIR PARKS: Yeah. The first think in the |
| 18 | morning or the last in the morning. What we could do 10 or |
| 19 | 12 commercials, we could do that. Why don't we do |
| 20 | that. Go to Page 29. And there's ten of those, two, two, |
| 21 | two, two, two. You've got 7 and 8. |
| 22 | MEMBER SMITH: I'm residential, so I'm not |
| 23 | qualified. |
| 24 | CHAIR PARKS: You're qualified. |
| 25 | MEMBER HUNT: Which ones are we going to do? |

| 1 | CHAIR PARKS: 1 and 2. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MEMBER NEAL: Mr. Chairman, 7 and 8 I accept |
| 3 | the attorney's recommendation. |
| 4 | CHAIR PARKS: Okay. I'm going to 5 through 6 |
| 5 | I accept the attorney's's recommendation. |
| 6 | MEMBER SMITH: I agree with 3 and 4. |
| 7 | MEMBER HUNT: I agree with 1 and 2. |
| 8 | MEMBER SANDRELL: I agree with number 9 and |
| 9 | 10. I'll agree with that. |
| 10 | CHAIR PARKS: Do I have a motion to |
| 11 | acceptance of the ten commercial complaints? |
| 12 | MEMBER NEAL: So moved. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Do I have a second? |
| 14 | MEMBER SMITH: Second. |
| 15 | CHAIR PARKS: Any other discussion on these |
| 16 | ten? All in favor say aye. |
| 17 | THE BOARD: Aye. |
| 18 | MEMBER NEAL: Mr. Chairman, I'll approve 37 |
| 19 | through 54. I agree I affirm the attorney's |
| 20 | recommendation with exception of number 41. I have a |
| 21 | question. It's says recommendation close with letter of |
| 22 | warning judgment on future renewals. Also keep in mind the |
| 23 | state that filed the bankruptcy. |
| 24 | MS. TARTER: I actually considered that. I |
| 25 | don't know if we want to start discussion. I can put that |

in there as well. Bankruptcy depending on Chapter 7 or 1 2 Chapter 11 --MR NEAL: Chapter 13 is reorganization --3 I was told by my chief counsel 4 MS. TARTER: 5 we have to very careful about bankruptcy, but I think -- I don't know why. 6 7 MEMBER NEAL: I hope we get bankruptcy so at 8 least we get the discharge. MS. TARTER: I wasn't sure if the information 9 10 was provided on renewals or not. Okay. 11 MEMBER NEAL: So you're going to add that 12 then? 13 MS. TARTER: Yes. CHAIR PARKS: Anybody else ready? 14 15 MEMBER SMITH: I've got 19 through 36. number 26 I would close, but I wouldn't put a letter of 16 17 warning. Because of the outstanding balances that the homeowner owes the contractor. And I think the contractor, 18 from what I read, did all he could do; especially if he was 19 owed over hundred thousand dollars. Then I have 33. It's 20 okay. It's commercial, but once again, I'm not sure I'm 21 22 qualified. CHAIR PARKS: What number? 23 24 MEMBER SMITH: Number 33. I would agree with 25 the dismiss. That is commercial, I believe. That's what I

1 have on 10 through 36. CHAIR PARKS: Anybody else ready? I still 2 3 have more myself. I'm ready but I've got quite a 4 MEMBER HUNT: few questions. 5 I've got a few, too. 6 CHAIR PARKS: 7 I've got 1 through 18. MEMBER HUNT: 8 start on number one. I agree with everything except the 9 civil penalty. I'm not sure where you came up with the \$12,050, but I will accept \$500; 250 for each violation. 10 That's my recommendation. And then on number two it 11 12 doesn't state the full value of the project. But it does 13 say he's acting as manager. I think take means 14 construction manager even though he hasn't been paid more 15 than twenty-five thousand. It's the value of the project 16 that he would need to be licensed. That's typically how we 17 review that. 18 MS. TARTER: We can pull that file and bring 19 it -- and that's something for any of them -- we can pull 20 the files and bring them tomorrow. MEMBER HUNT: Number 5, your recommendation 21 22 is to close with a letter of warning. But with what little information is presented here, one of things is that the 2.3 24 respondent offered to go back and make the repair. And the

homeowner would not let them back on the property. So I

don't know necessarily why we send him a letter of warning. 1 MS. TARTER: Okay. I think the only reason I 2 had the letter of warning was the pending litigation. 3 MEMBER HUNT: And I'm hesitant sending out 4 letters of warning when there is pending litigation. 5 MS. TARTER: All right. I think they -- I 6 7 just wanted documentation so if something came out -- I 8 didn't think something would come out in litigation -- we need to have some sort of way to let them know that other 9 than a letter of warning. 10 MEMBER HUNT: Yeah. Just a letter but not a 11 letter of warning. I'm fine with that. 12 13 MS. TARTER: Okay. 14 MEMBER HUNT: Number 8, here again, the respondent is willing to make the repairs. And I'm trying 15 to make the -- to relinquish his license when he's willing 16 17 to make repairs. 18 MS. TARTER: I can pull the file on that one, 19 too. 20 MEMBER HUNT: Okay. MEMBER NEAL: 55 items is a bunch. 21 MEMBER HUNT: On number 10, the electrical 22 contractor with the ninety-eight thousand dollar limit 23 doing three-hundred and seven thousand of work, is there 24

not anything stronger we should do there than just a letter

of warning? Can we not -- should we not issue fines? 7 2 I think this is one where we MS. TARTER: 3 couldn't locate that person. But I'll pull the file on 4 that, too. CHAIR PARKS: It said the consent order was 5 6 returned unclaimed. Maybe he disappeared. 7 MEMBER HUNT: And then on 12, you know, 8 that's three-year-old complaint. I'm not sure I'd issue a 9 letter of warning on that. And then on 13, you're wanting 10 a letter of warning when they've settled. You know, that 11 monetary settlement, so to me the issue is done. 12 MS. TARTER: Okay. 13 THE COURT: On 12, there should be a notation 14 on the file or something to -- a person returns and wants 15 to relinquish his license. 16 MS. TARTER: So monitor. 17 CHAIR PARKS: Anymore, Cliff? I had something down here on 14. That's my last one, too. 18 19 quess there's just not enough information there. I don't 20 understand what the whole case is on that one. MS. TARTER: I'll make a note to bring the 21 22 file tomorrow. 23 CHAIR PARKS: Let's -- on the ones we've made 24 changes and agreed on, let's have a motion for acceptance

today. We will continue with mine and Marvin's, as well as

| 1 | the others that the ones you're going to bring the files |
|----|--|
| 2 | on. |
| 3 | MEMBER NEAL: I make a motion that the ones |
| 4 | we discussed today be approved, based upon discussion. |
| 5 | MEMBER SMITH: Second. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Discussion? All approved say |
| 7 | aye. |
| 8 | THE BOARD: Aye. |
| 9 | CHAIR PARKS. We'll recess until morning at |
| 10 | 9:00 at 500 James Robertson Parkway. |
| 11 | (END OF REQUESTED TRANSCRIPT.) |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF TENNESSEE COUNTY OF SUMNER 4 5 I, Beverly A. Cannon, court reporter and 6 notary public for the State of Tennessee at Large, hereby 7 certify that I reported the Board of Contractor's by 8 machine shorthand, to the best of my skills and abilities, 9 and thereafter the same was reduced to typewritten form by 10 me, consisting of 24 pages, inclusive. 11 I further certify that I am not related to 12 any of the parties named herein, nor their counsel, and 13 have no interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome of 14 these proceedings. 15 WITNESS MY HAND and SEAL this the 16th day of 16 May, 2008. 17 18 19 20 State of Tennessee at Large 21 22 23 24 My Commission Expires: August 2, 2010.